DEC 17 19
CHARLES ELMORE CR

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1951

No. 435

JOHN LEE,

Petitioner,

vs.

EULA LEE MATTHEWS FINLEY, and
HENRY P. WILLIMON,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Illinois.

BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION.

ISAAC I. BENDER,
221 N. La Salle St.,
Chicago, Illinois.
Counsel for Respondents.

BARNARD & MILLER, 33 S. Market St., Chicago 6. FRanklin 2-0562

INDEX.

PAG

Reply to Summary Statement of Petitioner.....

The opinion below.....

Jurisdiction .....

Argument .....

Citations.

Grayson v. Harris, 267 U. S. 352, 358.....

Portland R. Co. v. Railroad Commission, 229 U. S. 397 and 412.....

Finley v. Crossley, 100 N. E. (2) 606, 409 Ill. 435.....

Bowe v. Scott, 233 U. S. 658, 664-5.....

Oxley Stave Co. v. Butler County, 166 U. S. 648, 655...

Statutes and Rules.

28 U. S. C. Section 1254 (1).....

Rule 12 (1) of the Supreme Court of the United States.

28 U. S. C. Section 1257.....

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, A. D. 1951

No. 435

JOHN LEE,

Petitioner,

vs.

EULA LEE MATTHEWS FINLEY, and
HENRY P. WILLIMON,

Respondents.

On Petition for a Writ of Certiorari to the Supreme Court of Illinois.

BRIEF FOR RESPONDENTS IN OPPOSITION.

To the Honorable Fred A. Vinson, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the United States and the Associate Justices of the Supreme Court of the United States.

REPLY TO SUMMARY STATEMENT OF PETITIONER.

In view of the fact that the Petitioner herein and Appellant in the court below, has presented what he calls a Summary Statement (pages 2-8 of his brief) and in view of the fact that this summary statement omits all reference